











CALIFORNIA BREASTFEEDING





CALIFORNIA IMMIGRANT

POLICY CENTER

















Together, We're Stronger.















Gender **Equality Law Center**

















Friends Committee on Legislation of California













LUTHERAN OFFICE of PUBLIC POLICY -CALIFORNIA













CALIFORNIA CHAPTER

















































CHC Community Health Counc











September 15th, 2023

The Honorable Gavin Newsom Governor of the State of California 1021 O Street, Suite 9000 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: AB 524 (Wicks) The Family Caregiver Anti-Discrimination Act

Dear Governor Newsom,

On behalf of the following organizations, we respectfully request your signature on AB 524 (Wicks): The Family Caregiver Anti-Discrimination Act. AB 524 would prohibit discrimination against employees based on their family caregiver status. In other words, the bill prohibits employers from treating a worker adversely based on assumptions or stereotypes associated with their family caregiving.

Four states and over 200 local jurisdictions have already enacted laws outlawing employment discrimination against parents and other caregivers, covering 35% of the American workforce. It is time for California to catch up.

Family caregiver discrimination occurs when an employer takes a negative employment action against an employee based on their responsibility to care for a family member. This type of discrimination affects employees of every income level, race, gender, and industry. Working mothers and pregnant people, though, are most likely to experience this type of discrimination, with low-wage earners and people of color disproportionately impacted.

AB 524 addresses family caregiver discrimination by adding family caregiver to the list of protected characteristics (e.g., race, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, religion, etc.) that are already prohibited bases of discrimination under the employment provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA). The bill was amended to narrow the definition of family to include a finite list of family members and a "designated person," as defined by current law. **Recent amendments clarify that a family caregiver is someone who provides direct care to a family member and further specify that employers are not required to provide special accommodations because of family caregiver status, including with respect to absenteeism, benefits, leave, scheduling, or work performance. This additional clarity is likely to reduce enforcement costs to the state.**

By explicitly labeling family caregivers as a protected class, AB 524 would encourage employers to treat them based on their job performance, instead of bias, which has the power to both save jobs and avoid lawsuits.

In fact, a 2021 report from the Center for WorkLife Law found **the likelihood an employer will be sued under an explicit caregiver discrimination law is nearly zero.** Importantly, the impact on government agencies investigating such claims of litigation was similarly low.

- In the years following the prohibition of caregiver discrimination in New York and Minnesota the number of complaints filed at state agencies decreased.
- The majority of claims alleging family caregiver discrimination also include other basis for the claims. Therefore, the claim would likely have been filed even absent a prohibition on caregiver discrimination.
- Claims based solely on family caregiver status discrimination made up less than one percent of all employment discrimination complaints filed at state agencies in Alaska and Minnesota.

In fact, as noted by researchers, by clarifying that employment discrimination based on caregiver status is unlawful, AB 524 may prevent lawsuits and

discrimination complaints at the state agency. For example, discriminatory practices based on an employee's status as a mother may constitute sex discrimination. However, employees may not be aware of their assumptions or the unlawful nature of their actions. AB 524 makes explicit that employers may not use family caregiver status as the basis for adverse employment actions.

In addition, although the Civil Rights Department speculated that claims filed under caregiver discrimination would be more complex, leading to increased costs even absent an increase in the number of claims, we believe that the amendment clarifying that there is no separate accommodations requirement will diminish the fiscal impact by preventing confusion from the outset. Moreover, a caregiver discrimination claim would share a common set of facts with other claims and is not likely to complicate an investigation.

For all of these reasons our organizations are proud to support AB 524 and urge you to sign AB 524 into law.

Sincerely,

9to5

A Better Balance

AAUW California

ACCE Action

ACCESS REPRODUCTIVE JUSTICE

BreastfeedLA

California Breastfeeding Coalition

California Calls

California Coalition on Family Caregiving

California Employment Lawyers Association

California Federation of Business and Professional Women

California Immigrant Policy Center

California Pan-Ethnic Health Network

California Partnership to End Domestic Violence

California WIC Association

California Women Lawyers

California Women's Law Center

California Work & Family Coalition

Care in Action

Caring Across Generations

Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP)

Center for WorkLife Law

Child Care Law Center

Citizens for Choice

COLAGE

Consumer Attorneys of CA

Ella Baker Center for Human Rights

Equal Rights Advocates

Family Caregiver Alliance

Family Values @ Work

Friends Committee on Legislation of California

Futures Without Violence

Gender Equality Law Center

GRACE - End Child Poverty in California

Human Impact Partners

Jewish Center For Justice

JTMW LLC

Justice for Migrant Women

Justice in Aging

LA Best Babies Network

La Raza Centro Legal

Labor Council for Latin American Advancement

Legal Aid at Work

Los Angeles Alliance for a New Economy

Lutheran Office of Public Policy - California

MomsRising

Mujeres Unidas y Activas

NAACP San Jose Silicon Valley

NARAL Pro-Choice California

National Association of Social Workers – California Chapter

National Center for Transgender Equality

National Council of Jewish Women CA

National Council of Jewish Women Los Angeles

National Domestic Workers Alliance

National Employment Law Project

National Employment Lawyers Association

National Institute for Workers' Rights

National Organization of API Ending Sexual Violence

National Partnership for Women & Families

National Women's Law Center

National Women's Political Caucus of California

Orange County Equality Coalition

Our Family Coalition

Parent Voices, California

Pilipino Association of Workers and Immigrants South Bay

Public Counsel

Public Justice Center

Rising Communities formerly Community Health Councils

ROC United

Santa Clara County Wage Theft Coalition

Supermajority

TechEquity Collaborative

Thai Community Development Center

The California Commission on the Status of Women and Girls

The Restaurant Opportunity Center of the Bay

The San Jose Peace & Justice Center

UFCW Western States Council

Vermont Network Against Domestic and Sexual Violence

Women Employed

Women's Foundation California

Worksafe

https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/guidance/enforcement-guidance-unlawful-disparate-treatment-workers-caregiving-responsibilities#gender.

i University of California College of the Law San Francisco, Center for Worklife Law, Clarification or Complaints? The Impact of Family Responsibilities Discrimination Laws on State Enforcement Agencies (2022), https://worklifelaw.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Clarification-or-Complaints-The-Impact-of-Family-Responsibility-Discrimination-Laws-on-State-Enforcement-Agencies.pdf

[&]quot;See, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, The COVID-19 Pandemic and Caregiver Discrimination under Federal Employment Discrimination Laws (Mar. 14, 2022), https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/guidance/covid-19-pandemic-and-caregiver-discrimination-under-federal-employment. Though the guidance was issued in relationship to the pandemic, it is intended to reiterate the applicability of prior policy. This guidance supplements portions of the guidance issued in the Bush administration. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Enforcement Guidance: Unlawful Disparate Treatment of Workers with Caregiving Responsibilities (May 23, 2007),